**HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL**

Bail Application No.1169 of 2006

CRIMINAL SIDE

Mukeem s/o Sri Akram
Village Bhaguwala, P.S. Mandawali
District Bijnor U.P.

.....Accused/Applicant

**Versus**

State of Uttaranchal

.....Opposite Party

Case crime No.331 of 2006
Under Sections 379/411 .I.P.C.
P.S. Kotwali, District Dehradun

Dated: 19.12.2006

By the Court

**Hon'ble Rajesh Tandon, J.**

Heard Sri Vinod Sharma Advocate for the applicant and the A.G.A. for the prosecution.

Accused applicant has applied for bail in Case Crime No. 331 of 2005 under sections 379, 411 I.P.C., P.S. Kotwali, Dehradun.

Counsel for the applicant has submitted that the mobile phone which was alleged to have been stolen, was recovered form one Danish and the applicant has been falsely implicated in this case. The offences are triable by the Court of Magistrate.

I have considered the respective submissions of the parties. Without expressing anything on merit of the case

and considering the totality of facts and circumstances, in my opinion, it is a fit case for bail.

Let the accused applicant be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Magistrate concerned.

**Rajesh Tandon, J.**

**Dated 19.12.2006**
*** Dhyani**